

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/583,695 | 05/31/2000 | Michael E. Tasker | 2705-111 | 5271 |
| 20575 | 7590 10/01/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET | | | HOM, SHICK C | |
| | PORTLAND, OR 97205 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2666 | 2 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/01/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | | Application No. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summany | 09/583,695 | | TASKER, MICHAEL E. | | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Shick C Hom | 2666 | | | |
| Period fe | The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply | tuon appears on the cover sh | eet with the correspondence ac | iaress | | |
| THE - External after of the control | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimulory period will apply and will expire SIX, by statute, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>31 May 2000</u> . | • | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b |)⊠ This action is non-final | | | | |
| 3)□ Disposit | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims | | | ne merits is | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from consideration | n. | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restrictio ion Papers | n and/or election requireme | nt. | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t | o by the Examiner. | • | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | *·· | · | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed o | n is: a)∏ approved t | o) disapproved by the Examin | er. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are require | | • | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | r foreign priority under 35 U. | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been receive | d. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been receive | d in Application No | | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action for the act | onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 | ?(a)). | Stage | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o | | | l application) | | |
| a | The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | age provisional application | has been received. | , | | |
| ر النظارة ا Attachmen | - | assure priority officer oo c | | | | |
| 1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape | -948) 5) 🔲 No | erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT er: | | | |

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in Figs. 1-3, provide a brief descriptive label for each numbered boxes, e.g. voice-equipped routers 18a, 18b; frame relay network 16; trunk connections 16a-c; PBX interface 20; PBX conditioners 26a-c; local telephony switching system 24. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3, 6, 7, and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 6 line 2, the words "a public switched telephone network (PSTN)" seems to refer back to "a public switched telephone network (PSTN)" recited in claim 4 lines 1-2. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a public switched telephone network (PSTN)" to ---the public switched telephone network (PSTN)" to ---the public switched telephone network (PSTN)---. In claims 3, 7, and 19 spell out all acronyms, e.g. FRF.11, VToA, AAL2, for clarity.

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 17 lines 1-2 which recite "said first and said second signaling means" lacks clear antecedent basis because no first and said second signaling means have been previously recited in the claims and therefore the limitation is not clearly understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Staples et al. (5,889,845).

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 1:

Staples et al. disclose the method for maintaining a virtual presence of a first remote telephone user in a PBX system (see Figs. 2-5 and col. 2 lines 37-45) having a frame relay network connection between two endpoint routers while permitting the first remote user to make local calls (col. 1 lines 51-61 and col. 3 lines 25-40), the method comprising: first signaling a PBX to represent the remote telephone as being off hook (col. 22 lines 54-65 and col. 24 lines 43-60); routing a telephone call placed at the remote telephone in accordance with a defined protocol (col. 8 line 65 to col. 9 line 9); and when the routed telephone call is terminated, second signaling the PBX to restore the on hook status of the remote telephone (col. 22 lines 45-52 and col. 26 line 58 to col. 27 line 18).

Staples et al. disclose wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band (col. 24 lines 43-60).

Regarding claim 4:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said telephone call-routing is to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) local to the remote telephone (col. 6 lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 5:

Art Unit: 2666

Staples et al. disclose wherein said telephone call-routing is to another remote telephone user at the same site within the PBX system as the first remote telephone user (col. 6 lines 10-38).

Regarding claim 6:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said call-routing to another remote same-site telephone user is performed by a router having a public switched telephone network (PSTN) local to the remote telephone and wherein said PSTN is used in said call-routing (col. 6 lines 10-38).

Regarding claim 9:

Staples et al. disclose indicating in response to an incoming call directed to the remote telephone that the telephone is busy generally from when said off-hook signaling occurs to when said on-hook signaling occurs (col. 24 lines 43-60 and col. 26 lines 34-51).

Regarding claim 10:

Staples et al. disclose the private branch exchange (PBX) conditioning apparatus for use in an endpoint router having a public switched telephone network (PSTN) connection and a voice-equipped frame relay network connection (Figs. 2-5, col. 1 lines 51-61, col. 2 lines 37-45, col. 3 lines 25-40, col. 6 lines 10-26), the apparatus comprising: a mechanism for

Art Unit: 2666

selectively routing a telephone call placed at a PBX-connected telephone to the local PSTN (col. 6 lines 10-26); a mechanism for first signaling the PBX that the PBX-connected telephone is temporarily incapable of receiving calls (col. 24 lines 43-60 and col. 26 lines 34-51); a mechanism for detecting a termination of such a PSTN-routed telephone call (col. 22 lines 45-52 and col. 26 line 58 to col. 27 line 18); and a mechanism responsive to said detecting mechanism for second signaling the PBX that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls (col. 22 lines 45-52); said first and second signaling mechanisms including software instructions resident on a computer-readable medium that when executed by a processor modify one or more interface status bits in the PBX (col. 2 lines 37-54 and col. 26 line 64 to col. 27 line 18).

Staples et al. disclose wherein said routing mechanism is responsive to a predefined dialing sequence received from the PBX-connected telephone (col. 3 lines 11-24 and col. 6 line 66 to col. 7 line 9).

Regarding claim 12:

Staples et al. disclose a mechanism for alternatively routing the telephone call placed at the PBX-connected telephone

Art Unit: 2666

to a same site PBX-connected telephone (col. 3 lines 11-24 and col. 6 line 66 to col. 7 line 9).

Regarding claim 13:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said first and said second signaling mechanisms are operatively coupled to a PBX station interface associated with the PBX (col. 21 lines 9-21).

Regarding claim 14:

Staples et al. disclose the private branch exchange (PBX) conditioning apparatus for use in an endpoint router having a public switched telephone network (PSTN) connection and a voice-equipped frame relay network connection (see Figs. 2-5, col. 1 lines 51-61, col. 2 lines 37-45, col. 3 lines 25-40, and col. 6 lines 10-26), the apparatus comprising: means for selectively routing a telephone call placed at a PBX-connected telephone to the local PSTN (col. 6 lines 10-26); means for signaling the PBX that the PBX-connected telephone is temporarily incapable of receiving calls (col. 24 lines 43-60 and col. 26 lines 34-51); means for detecting a termination of such a PSTN-routed telephone call (col. 22 lines 45-52 and col. 26 line 58 to col. 27 line 18); and means responsive to said detecting means for signaling the PBX that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls (col. 22 lines 45-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/583,695

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 15:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said routing means is responsive to a predefined dialing sequence received from the PBX-connected telephone (col. 3 lines 11-24 and col. 6 line 66 to col. 7 line 9).

Regarding claim 16:

Staples et al. disclose means for alternatively routing the telephone call placed at the PBX-connected telephone to a same site PBX-connected telephone (col. 3 lines 11-24 and col. 6 line 66 to col. 7 line 9).

Regarding claim 17:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said first and said second signaling means are operatively coupled to a PBX station interface associated with the PBX (col. 21 lines 9-21).

Regarding claim 18:

Staples et al. disclose the computer-readable medium containing a program for maintaining a virtual presence of a first remote telephone user in a PBX system (col. 2 lines 37-54) having a frame relay network connection between two endpoint routers while permitting the first remote user to make local calls (col. 1 lines 51-61 and col. 3 lines 25-40), the program comprising: instructions for first signaling a PBX to represent the remote telephone as being off hook (col. 22 lines 54-65 and

Art Unit: 2666

col. 24 lines 43-60); instructions for routing a telephone call placed at the remote telephone in accordance with a defined protocol (col. 8 lines 65 to col. 9 line 9); and instructions operative when the routed telephone call is terminated for second signaling the PBX to restore the on-hook status of the remote telephone (col. 22 lines 45-52 and col. 26 line 58 to col. 27 line 18).

Regarding claim 20:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said call-routing instructions are operative to route the telephone call to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) local to the remote telephone (col. 6 lines 10-26).

Regarding claim 21:

Staples et al. disclose wherein said call-routing instructions are operative to route the telephone call to another remote telephone user at the same site within the PBX system as the first remote telephone user (col. 3 lines 11-24 and col. 6 line 66 to col. 27 line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2666

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. (5,889,845) in view of Astarabadi (5,822,405).

For claim 8 Staples et al. disclose the method for maintaining a virtual presence of a remote telephone user in a PBX system as described in paragraph 5 of this office action.

Staples et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of forwarding an incoming call directed to the remote telephone to a voice mailbox generally from a time when said first signaling occurs to a time when said second signaling occurs as in claim 8.

Astarabadi from the same fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the step of forwarding an incoming call directed to the remote telephone to a voice mailbox generally from a time when said first signaling occurs to a time when said second signaling occurs (see col. 12 lines 28-43 and col. 15 lines 45-51). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2666

made to provide the step of forwarding an incoming call directed to the remote telephone to a voice mailbox generally from a time when said first signaling occurs to a time when said second signaling occurs as taught by Astarabadi in the method for maintaining a virtual presence of a remote telephone user in a PBX of Staples et al. The step of forwarding an incoming call directed to the remote telephone to a voice mailbox can be implemented by connecting the voice mailbox of Astarabadi into the PBX of Staples et al. The motivation for providing the voice mailbox as taught by Astarabadi in the method of Staples et al. being that it provides the added feature of enabling the user the convenience of accessing, retrieve and store telephone messages at one point in time and listen to them at a later, more convenient time in the system of Staples et al.

8. Claims 3, 7, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. (5,889,845) in view of Foodeei et al. (6,445,696).

For claims 3, 7, and 19 Staples et al. disclose the method and computer-readable medium as described in paragraph 5 of this office action.

Staples et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of wherein said in-band

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/583,695

Art Unit: 2666

signaling is in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol as in claims 3, 19; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk connection as in claim 7.

Foodeei et al. from the similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide in-band signaling being in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk (col. 2 line 40 to col. 3 line 17). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the in-band signaling being in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk as taught by Foodeei et al. in the method and computer-readable medium of Staples et al. The motivation for using VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol and trunk as taught by Foodeei et al. in the method and medium of Staples et al. being that it provides lower development cost due to use of popular and existing standard protocol and trunk in the implementation of the method and medium of Staples et al.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/583,695

Art Unit: 2666

Nelson et al. disclose a telecommunications system architecture for connecting a call.

10. Any response to this nonfinal action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (2600 Receptionist at (703) 305-4750).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick Hom whose telephone number is (703) 305-4742. The examiner's regular work schedule is Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm EST and out of office on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached at (703) 308-5463.

Application/Control Number: 09/583,695

Art Unit: 2666

Page 14

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SEEMA S. RAO 9/22/03
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

SH

September 17, 2003